

**Background Document C**

<b>Directorate: Communities and Environment</b>	<b>Service area: Parks &amp; Countryside</b>
<b>Lead person: Claire Tregembo</b>	<b>Contact number: 3782875</b>

**1. Title: Public Path Diversion Order Under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990**

Is this a:

**Strategy / Policy**
                 
  **Service / Function**
                 
  **Other**

**If other, please specify**

**2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening**

The diversion of a public right of way under Section 257 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990

<b>3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration</b>		
<b>Questions</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different equality characteristics?	✓	
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?	✓	
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?		✓
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		✓
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment</li> <li>• Advancing equality of opportunity</li> <li>• Fostering good relations</li> </ul>		✓

**4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration**

- **How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration?**

The Diversion Order is to be considered in accordance with Section 257 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. Policies and the Statement of Action set out within the Rights of Way Improvement Plan, which considered the needs of all users and potential users of public rights of way, will also be taken into consideration.

Local residents, public rights of way users and the landowners and occupiers are likely to be affected by the proposal.

Consultation has taken place public rights of way user groups, statutory undertakers and

consultees, ward members, Leeds Local Access Forum, the developer, landowners, occupiers and any other interested parties identified.

- **Key findings**

Public Path Diversion are likely to affect all members of the local community who use the path as well as recreational users from further away. However, they are likely to have more of an impact on those groups who are less mobile. Increased distances, increased gradient, the addition of steps or path furniture such as stiles can make it more difficult or impossible for some groups to use a public right of way. Increased distances can also impact of those without access to a vehicle who could face an increase in length and time to reach their destination.

A Public Path Diversion Order under Section 257 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 can only be made if it is necessary to do so in order to allow permitted development to be carried out.

The Rights of Way Improvement Plan aims to assert and protect the rights of the public where public right of way are affected by development. Path improvements and suitable alternative routes will be sought wherever possible.

The Rights of Way Improvement Plan also seeks the least restrictive option in terms of path furniture and improvements to the path surface and drainage to make the path easier to use where possible.

Detailed consideration of these issues specific to the proposed Public Path Diversion are found in the relevant Report of the Public Rights of Way Manager Public Path Diversion Order Report.

- **Actions**

Diversion Orders will not be made if the proposed diversion significantly increases the distance or gradient of the public right of way when a more suitable alternative route can be provided.

Diversion Orders will be made where there is a positive impact of the public rights of way network for the public. This may involve a shorter route, more convenience, a more enjoyable route, an improved path or additional links.

In line with the Rights of Way Improvement Plan Public Path Diversion Orders will seek the least restrictive option in terms of path furniture and improvements to the path surface and drainage to make the public rights of way easier to use.

Specific actions and a recommendation on the proposed Diversion Order are found in the Report of the Public Rights of Way Manager for the relevant Public Path Diversion Order.

**5. If you are *not* already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you *will need to carry out an impact assessment*.**

Date to scope and plan your impact assessment:	N/A
Date to complete your impact assessment	N/A
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)	N/A

**6. Governance, ownership and approval**

Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening

<b>Name</b>	<b>Job title</b>	<b>Date</b>
Bob Buckenham	Public Rights of Way Manager	12/01/2012

**7. Publishing**

This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the screening document will need to be published.

Please send a copy to the Equality Team for publishing

<b>Date screening completed</b>	
<b>Date sent to Equality Team</b>	
<b>Date published</b> (To be completed by the Equality Team)	